

Renormalization Group study of the Sliding Luttinger liquids

Germán Sierra

Instituto de Matemáticas y Física Fundamental, C.S.I.C., Madrid, Spain.

(Dated: February 1, 2008)

We derive the RG-flow equations of the sliding Luttinger liquid perturbed by charge-density-wave (CDW) and superconducting (SC) operators. Using them we study the phase diagram of an array of XXZ spin chains coupled by Ising terms. In the weak coupling regime we find a new class of non-gaussian and unstable fixed points whose existence is due to a balance between the CDW, SC and sliding Luttinger couplings.

PACS numbers: 71.10.Hf, 75.10.Jm, 75.30.Gw, 74.20.Mn

The Luttinger liquid (LL) is the key concept to describe interacting electrons in one dimension. The collective nature of the excitations, the spin-charge separation and the power law behaviour of correlators with anomalous exponents are some of its distinctive features, in contrast to the Fermi liquid theory [1]. Much of the theoretical activity in the last years has been devoted to the search of non-Fermi liquid theories in dimensions higher than one, specially in 2D, due to its possible connection with the high- T_c superconductors and another strongly correlated systems.

A natural path to realize a non-Fermi liquid has been to couple arrays of 1D Luttinger liquids forming ladders and 2D planes. However the general consensus has been, until recently, that 2D arrays of LL's are unstable to the formation of crystal, superconducting or 2D Fermi liquid states [2]. An alternative to these "no-go theorems" has been proposed lately using the concept of sliding Luttinger liquid (SLL), also called smectic non-Fermi liquid [3, 4, 5].

These works were partially motivated by the Anderson's proposal of confinement of excitations in the Luttinger liquids [6] and have a classical analogue in the stacks of coupled 2D XY models coupled by gradient interactions [7]. The SLL model may also be relevant to the stripe phases of the Quantum Hall effect and the cuprates.

The sliding Luttinger liquid is the fixed point of a gaussian Hamiltonian which treats on equal footing the individual Luttinger Hamiltonians of the stripes and the density-density and current-current interstripe interactions [3, 4]. Using bosonization techniques one can regard the SLL as a set of decoupled LL's characterized by a sound velocity $v(q_\perp)$ and a Luttinger coupling $K(q_\perp)$ which depend on the transverse momentum $q_\perp$ across the stripes. The gaussian nature of the SLL fixed point allows a simple derivation of the scaling dimensions of the single particle (SP), charge density (CDW) and superconduct-

ing (SC) order parameters, in terms of the SLL function $K(q_\perp)$ [3, 4]. These scaling dimensions have been used to study the stability of the SLL under various perturbations, finding rich phase diagrams where the SLL phase survives in the vicinity of CDW, SC and Fermi liquid phases [3, 4, 5]. The perturbative RG analysis performed in the latter references take into account the running of the coupling constants of the perturbations to first order, while the Luttinger functions $K(q_\perp)$ and $v(q_\perp)$ stay constant. It is however well known that in certain situations, as in the presence of marginal perturbations, one has to consider in addition the renormalization of the Luttinger parameters in a RG à la Kosterlitz-Thouless (KT) [8]. This is for example the case of the Hubbard model at half filling where the Umklapp operator becomes marginally relevant for a repulsive Hubbard constant, leading to a charge gap in the low energy spectrum.

The aim of this Letter is to derive the RG equations of the Sliding Luttinger liquid for the coupling constants and the SLL functions to second order in the couplings, and study some of their consequences in a model consisting of arrays of spinless 1D Hamiltonians coupled by density-density interactions. The latter model has been treated in the past with bosonization [9], mean field [10] and variational methods [11], which predict the existence of large regions in parameter space where the phase is either CDW-like or XY-like, corresponding respectively to the smectic crystal and the smectic metallic phases of references [3, 4].

On more general grounds we also analyse the stability of the spinless SLL under marginal CDW and SC perturbations, reaching the conclusion that when one of these perturbations is irrelevant the other one is relevant and hence the SLL is an unstable fixed point. We also find new unstable fixed points if two conditions are satisfied: i) when the CDW coupling constants are minus the superconducting ones, which guarantees the freezing of the SLL parameters, and ii) when the running of the

CDW and SC parameters to first order, which is given by their scaling dimension, is cancelled out by the second order term. The mechanism involved here is similar to the one giving rise to the Wilson-Fisher fixed point in dimensions $d < 4$ [12]. From a more mathematical viewpoint the manifold of these non gaussian fixed points are closely related to the target manifolds that appear in 5 dimensional supergravity theories [13].

Let us consider an array of N spinless Luttinger stripes with phase fields for the density fluctuations ϕ_a ($a = 1, \dots, N$) and euclidean Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \sum_{a=1}^N \frac{K_0}{2} \left[\frac{1}{v_0} (\partial_t \phi_a)^2 + v_0 (\partial_x \phi_a)^2 \right] \quad (1)$$

where K_0 is the inverse of the standard Luttinger parameter ($K_0 > 1$ for repulsion) and v_0 is the sound velocity which we scale to 1. The charge density fluctuations j_0^a and the charge currents j_1^a are given by the bosonization equation $j_\mu^a = \frac{1}{\pi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \phi_a$. Hence the density-density and current-current interactions among the stripes are also quadratic in the derivatives of the bosonic fields and, together with (1), define the sliding Luttinger Lagrangian [3, 4]

$$\mathcal{L}_{SLL} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^N \left[\partial_t \phi_a K_{a,b}^J \partial_t \phi_b + \partial_x \phi_a K_{a,b}^\rho \partial_x \phi_b \right] \quad (2)$$

where $K_{a,b}^{J,\rho} = \delta_{a,b} K_0 + \bar{K}_{a,b}^{J,\rho}$ are $N \times N$ matrices whose off-diagonal elements are given by the interstripe current-current and density-density interactions. The SLL model can alternatively be formulated in the dual variables θ_a , which are the phase fields of the superconducting fluctuations. The SLL Lagrangian (2) becomes [3, 4, 5]

$$\mathcal{L}_{SLL} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{a,b=1}^N \left[\partial_t \theta_a (K_\rho^{-1})_{a,b} \partial_t \theta_b + \partial_x \theta_a (K_J^{-1})_{a,b} \partial_x \theta_b \right] \quad (3)$$

where $K_{J,\rho}^{-1}$ are the inverse matrices of $K^{J,\rho}$. Both eqs.(2) and (3) exhibit the smectic or sliding symmetries $\phi_a \rightarrow \phi_a + \alpha_a$ and $\theta_a \rightarrow \theta_a + \beta_a$, where α_a and β_a are constants, which prevents the lock in of the charge-density-wave and superconducting order parameters of the individual stripes [3, 4]. Assuming periodic boundary conditions across the stripes and translational invariance along them, one can perform the Fourier transform: $\phi_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{q_\perp} e^{iq_\perp a} \phi_{q_\perp}$, $K^{J,\rho}(q_\perp) = \sum_{q_\perp} e^{iq_\perp a} K_{1,1+a}^{J,\rho}$ in order to bring the SLL Lagrangian (2) into the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{SLL} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{q_\perp} K(q_\perp) \left[\frac{1}{v(q_\perp)} |\partial_t \phi_{q_\perp}|^2 + v(q_\perp) |\partial_x \phi_{q_\perp}|^2 \right] \quad (4)$$

where $K(q_\perp) = \sqrt{K^J(q_\perp)K^\rho(q_\perp)}$ is the (inverse) Luttinger parameter and $v(q_\perp) = \sqrt{K^\rho(q_\perp)/K^J(q_\perp)}$ is the sound velocity of the $q_\perp$ -mode. In the dual variables $\theta_{q_\perp}$ the Lagrangian has the same form as eq.(4) with the replacement $K(q_\perp) \rightarrow 1/K(q_\perp)$. The scaling dimensions of the various operators, given in references [3, 4], can be easily derived by observing that a generic vertex operator $V_\beta^\phi = \exp(i \sum_a \beta_a \phi_a)$, factorizes into the product of vertex operators on the $q_\perp$ -modes as follows

$$V_\beta^\phi = \prod_{0 \leq q_\perp \leq \pi} e^{i\sqrt{\frac{2}{N}} \sum_a \beta_a (\cos(q_\perp a) \phi_{q_\perp}^{(1)} + \sin(q_\perp a) \phi_{q_\perp}^{(2)})}$$

$$\phi_{q_\perp} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\phi_{q_\perp}^{(1)} - i \phi_{q_\perp}^{(2)}), \quad (q_\perp \neq 0, \pi) \quad (5)$$

where $\phi_{q_\perp}^{(1,2)}$ are real scalar fields. The scaling dimension Δ_β^ϕ of V_β^ϕ is given simply by the sum of the scaling dimensions of all the individual $q_\perp$ -modes, namely

$$\Delta_\beta^\phi = \int_{q_\perp} \frac{1}{4\pi K(q_\perp)} \left(\sum_a \beta_a^2 + 2 \sum_{a < b} \beta_a \beta_b \cos(q_\perp(a-b)) \right) \quad (6)$$

where $\int_{q_\perp} = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dq_\perp}{2\pi}$. Similary, a vertex operator in the dual variables, i.e. $V_\beta^\theta = \exp(i \sum_a \beta_a \theta_a)$, has a scaling dimension Δ_β^θ given by the formula (6) with $K(q_\perp)$ replaced by its inverse. The interaction Lagrangian is given by the pair hopping (SC) and particle-hole (CDW) operators [3, 4],

$$\mathcal{L}_{int} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^2x}{(2\pi a_0)^2} \sum_{a,b} \left[g_{CDW}^{a,b} \cos\beta(\phi_a - \phi_b) + g_{SC}^{a,b} \cos\beta(\theta_a - \theta_b) \right] \quad (7)$$

where a_0 is the lattice spacing and $\beta = \sqrt{2\pi}$ for the charge modes of spin gapped systems [3], or $\beta = \sqrt{4\pi}$ for spinless fermions [4]. In the latter references the stability of the perturbed SLL was studied in terms of the relevance or irrelevance of the CDW and SC operators (7), given by their scaling dimensions. There are however cases where one has to consider the renormalization of the functions $K(q_\perp)$ and $v(q_\perp)$, for example when the CDW and SC operators become marginal. The latter situation actually arises in the study of the coupled XXZ spin chain Hamiltonians via Ising and spin-pair-flipping terms [9, 11],

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^L \sum_{a=1}^N \left[-\frac{J}{2} (S_{i,a}^+ S_{i+1,a}^- + S_{i,a}^- S_{i+1,a}^+) + J_z S_{i,a}^z S_{i+1,a}^z + J'_z S_{i,a}^z S_{i,a+1}^z + J'_{XY} (S_{i,a}^+ S_{i+1,a}^+ S_{i,a+1}^- S_{i+1,a+1}^- + h.c.) \right] \quad (8)$$

This model can be Jordan-Wigner transformed into a spinless fermion Hamiltonian which, after bosonization, becomes at half filling [9],

$$H = \sum_{a=1}^N \int dx \left[\frac{u}{2K_0} \pi_a^2 + \frac{uK_0}{2} (\partial_x \phi_a)^2 + \frac{2J_z a_0}{(2\pi a_0)^2} \cos \sqrt{16\pi} \phi_a \right. \\ \left. + \frac{J'_z a_0}{\pi} \partial_x \phi_a \partial_x \phi_{a+1} + \frac{8J'_{XY} a_0}{(2\pi a_0)^2} \cos \sqrt{4\pi} (\theta_a - \theta_{a+1}) + \right. \\ \left. \frac{2J'_z a_0}{(2\pi a_0)^2} \left(\cos \sqrt{4\pi} (\phi_a + \phi_{a+1}) - \cos \sqrt{4\pi} (\phi_a - \phi_{a+1}) \right) \right] \quad (9)$$

where $u = Ja_0(1 + 2J_z/(\pi J))$ and $K_0 = 1 + 2J_z/(\pi J)$ for $|J_z| \ll J$. The gaussian terms in (9) yield a SLL model (2) with

$$K_{a,b}^J = \delta_{a,b} K_0, \quad K_{a,b}^\rho = \delta_{a,b} K_0 + \frac{J'_z}{\pi} \delta_{|a-b|,1} \quad (10)$$

where the time variable and the exchange couplings are measured in units of u and J respectively. In the weak coupling regime $|J_z| \ll J$, the SLL function $K(q_\perp)$ is close to 1. Consequently the intra-chain umklapp couplings $\cos \sqrt{16\pi} \phi_a$ have dimension $d \sim 4$ and hence can be neglected, as it is the case of decoupled single chains. On the other hand the CDW, SC and Umklapp inter-chain couplings are marginal and one has to consider their running together with that of the SLL functions. To simplify matters we shall neglect in what follows the Umklapp term, which is anyway absent away from half-filling. The couplings constants in (7), which correspond to (9), are given by

$$g_{CDW}^{a,b} = -2J'_z \delta_{|a-b|,1}, \quad g_{SC}^{a,b} = 8J'_{XY} \delta_{|a-b|,1} \quad (11)$$

Eqs.(2) and (7) define a multicomponent sine-Gordon model which can be renormalized using operator product expansion (OPE) techniques [12]. We shall further assume that the sound velocity of the modes is constant and set it to 1, so that the hard disk regularization will be common to all the modes. The RG-flow equations for $K_{a,b} = K_{a,b}^{J,\rho}$ and $g_{CDW/SC,n}$ are given by (up to second order in the $g's$)

$$\frac{dK_{a,b}}{ds} = \frac{\beta^2}{(4\pi)^3} \left[F_{CDW}^0 \delta_{a,b} - (g_{CDW}^{a,b})^2 - \sum_{c,d} K_{a,c} (F_{SC}^0 \delta_{c,d} - (g_{SC}^{c,d})^2) K_{d,b} \right] \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{dg_X^{a,b}}{ds} = (2 - \Delta_X^{a,b}) g_X^{a,b} - \frac{1}{4\pi} F_X^{a,b} \quad (X = SC, CDW)$$

where $\Delta_X^{a,b}$ are the scaling dimensions of the CDW and SC operators (eq.(6))

$$\Delta_{SC/CDW}^{a,b} = \frac{\beta^2}{2\pi} \int_{q_\perp} (1 - \cos(q_\perp(a-b))) K^{\pm 1}(q_\perp) \quad (13)$$

and the functions F_X^0 and $F_X^{a,b}$ are defined as,

$$F_X^0 = \sum_b (g_X^{a,b})^2, \quad F_X^{a,b} = \sum_c g_X^{a,c} g_X^{c,b} \quad (14)$$

As an application of these eqs. we shall consider the case when $K(q_\perp) = 1 + k_0 + \sum_{n>0} k_n \cos(q_\perp n)$ is close to 1 and $\beta = \sqrt{4\pi}$, which corresponds to the spinless fermion model (8, 9). The linearization of eqs.(12) yield

$$\frac{dk_0}{ds} = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sum_{n>0} (g_{CDW,n}^2 - g_{SC,n}^2)$$

$$\frac{dk_n}{ds} = -\frac{1}{8\pi^2} (g_{CDW,n}^2 - g_{SC,n}^2), \quad (n > 0) \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{dg_{CDW,n}}{ds} = (2k_0 - k_n) g_{CDW,n} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}(g_{CDW})}{\partial g_{CDW,n}}$$

$$\frac{dg_{SC,n}}{ds} = -(2k_0 - k_n) g_{SC,n} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}(g_{SC})}{\partial g_{SC,n}}$$

where $\mathcal{N}(g)$ is the cubic polynomial

$$\mathcal{N}(g) = \sum_{n,m>0} g_n g_m g_{n+m} \quad (16)$$

which encodes the OPE of the CDW and SC operators appearing in (7). Notice the invariance of (16) under the change $g_n \rightarrow (-1)^n g_n$. From (15) it follows the RG conservation of $K(q_\perp = 0) = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 0} k_n$. Moreover the “Minkowskian” norm μ , defined as,

$$\mu = k_0^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n>0} k_n^2 - \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{n>0} (g_{CDW,n}^2 + g_{SC,n}^2) \quad (17)$$

satisfies the RG eq.

$$\frac{d\mu}{ds} = \frac{6}{(4\pi)^2} [\mathcal{N}(g_{CDW}) + \mathcal{N}(g_{SC})] \quad (18)$$

Eqs.(15) define an RG-flow in an infinite dimensional space formed by all the SLL, CDW and SC parameters. To extract some information from them we have to do further approximations. Let us first consider the simplest case where only k_0 and $g_{CDW,1}$ are non vanishing. A truncation of eqs.(15), written in the variables $x = -2k_0$ and $y = g_{CDW,1}/(2\pi)$, gives

$$\frac{dx}{ds} = -y^2, \quad \frac{dy}{ds} = -xy \quad (19)$$

which are the well known Kosterlitz-Thouless RG eqs. of the XY model [8, 12]. In this case the norm μ (17) is proportional to $x^2 - y^2$, and it is conserved since the cubic term vanishes. The RG trajectories are hyperbolas of constant μ which end up at infinity provided $|y| > x$. The latter inequality translates in the XXZ+Ising model (8) into the condition $|J'_z| > -4J_z$, which coincides with the one obtained in reference [9] for the existence of an AF-Ising phase. In the weak coupling regime of the XY model, which corresponds to the region $|y| < x$, the parameter y flows to zero and x flows to the line $x > 0$ of SLL stable fixed points. The inclusion of the interstripe Luttinger parameter k_1 modifies the boundaries of the strong coupling region. Indeed performing the change of variables $x = -2k_0 + k_1$ and $y = \sqrt{6} g_{CDW,1}/(4\pi)$ one obtains from (15) the same KT eqs.(19), and the strong coupling regime is given now by $J'_z > -17.798J_z$ (if $J'_z > 0$) and $-J'_z > -1.798J_z$ (if $J'_z < 0$). In all these cases a necessary condition to achieve a XY or SLL phase is to have a ferromagnetic intrachain coupling $J_z < 0$, which agrees with the results of [4] concerning the proximity of the stable SLL to the isotropic ferromagnetic point where the boson stiffness K_0 vanishes. To consider the effect on the higher order couplings we make the change of variables $x = -2k_0$ and $y_n = g_{CDW,n}/(2\pi)$, obtaining the eqs.

$$\frac{dx}{ds} = -\sum_{n=1}^M y_n^2, \quad \frac{dy_n}{ds} = -xy_n - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}(y)}{\partial y_n} \quad (20)$$

If the initial conditions are set to $x(0) = x$, $y_1(0) = y$, $y_n(0) = 0$ ($1 < n \leq M$) we obtain numerically that the strong coupling regime arises when $|y_1| > A_M x$, where A_M converges exponentially to 0.83 in the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$. Hence the AF-Ising region of [9] gets enlarged by the inclusion of all the couplings generated by the RG, while SLL region diminishes. One can similarly add the effect of the k_n parameters which change again the boundaries of the AF-Ising region.

Another interesting case is when there are both CDW and SC couplings. As can be seen from eqs.(15) the SLL fixed point, where $g_{CDW,n} = g_{SC,n} = 0$, is unstable since their scaling dimensions are $\pm(2k_0 - k_n)$. However there is another fixed point if $g_{CDW,n} = -g_{SC,n}$ or $g_{CDW,n} = (-1)^{n+1} g_{SC,n}$ and

$$(2k_0 - k_n)g_{CDW,n} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{\partial \mathcal{N}(g_{CDW})}{\partial g_{CDW,n}} \quad (21)$$

This equation has non trivial solutions for g_n as functions of k_n . The fixed points we have analyzed turn out to be unstable. The non-gaussian nature of these fixed points recall the Wilson-Fisher fixed point, with $2k_0 - k_n$ playing the role of $\epsilon = 4 - D$. From a completely different viewpoint it is worth to mention that the mathematical structure underlying these fixed points, is very close to the one that appears in 5D-Supergravity theories [13], where the manifold of the scalar fields, that come in the Maxwell multiplets, is defined in terms of a cubic norm $\mathcal{N}$ similar to the one introduced in (16). This connection may help to study the properties of the new solutions. An interesting problem is to investigate the existence of stable non-gaussian SLL fixed points when $K(q_\perp)$ does not lie near 1. This can be done starting from eqs.(12) rather than from their linearized version (15). Another issue is to include the effect of non constant $v(q_\perp)$. The generalization of our results to SLL's with charge and spin degrees of freedom is rather straightforward. Here too we expect the appearance of novel non-gaussian fixed points.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank M.A. Martín-Delgado and J. Rodriguez for conversations. This work has been supported by the Spanish grant BFM2000-1320-C02-01.

- [1] V.J. Emery, in "Highly Conducting one-dimensional solids", J. Dorese et al. (Plenum, New York, 1979).
- [2] H.J. Schulz, J. Phys. **C 16**, 6769 (1983).
- [3] V.J. Emery, E. Fradkin, S.A. Kivelson and T.C. Lubensky, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 2160 (2000).
- [4] A. Vishwanath and D. Carpentier, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 676 (2001).
- [5] R. Mukhopadhyay, C.L. Kane, T.C. Lubensky, cond-mat/0102163.
- [6] P.W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 3844 (1991).
- [7] C. S. O'Hern, T. C. Lubensky, J. Toner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 2745 (1999).
- [8] J.M. Kosterlitz and D.J. Thouless, J. Phys. **C 6**, 1181 (1973).
- [9] J.P. Rodriguez, P.D. Sacramento, V. Vieira, Phys. Rev. **B 56**, 13685 (1997).
- [10] J.P. Rodriguez, Phys. Rev. **B 58**, 944 (1998).
- [11] M.A. Martin-Delgado, M. Roncaglia, G. Sierra, cond-mat/0101458.
- [12] J. Cardy, "Scaling and Renormalization in Statistical Physics", Cambridge University Press (1996).
- [13] M. Gunaydin, G. Sierra and P. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. **B 253**, 573 (1985).